

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,706	1	10/14/2003	Yu-Teng Hsiao	MR2511-112 9920		
4586	7590	09/28/2004		EXAMINER		
ROSENBE	•		MAI, HUY KIM			
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		E 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2873		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/683,706	HSIAO, YU-TENG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Huy K. Mai	2873	An				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commo D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
	,—						
	— The second of the first terms						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			· ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ge				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
•							
Add a large and (a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) The International Community	(DTO 442)					
2) Notice of Pro-1948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/683,706

Art Unit: 2873

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The declaration filed on Oct. 14, 2003 is acceptable.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature "being provided with a groove in the bottom portion (of) each hinge, at least one magnetic article being provided in each said groove, a pad being provided at the bottom of each said magnetic article" as claimed in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified

and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that the term "each hinge" in page 7, lines 6-7 should read —each temple extension—because the drawing (Fig. 9) shows that the a groove 11 is provided in each temple extension of the primary eyeglass frame but not in each hinge. Otherwise, there is no groove is provided in each hinge.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that the term "each hinge" in claim 1, lines 4 should read –each temple extension—because the drawing (Fig. 9) shows that the a groove 11 is provided in each temple extension of the primary eyeglass frame but not in each hinge. Otherwise, there is no groove is provided in each hinge.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The word "or" (claim 1, lines 4,9) render claim may be confusing because the hidden magnetic attachment structure cannot be between the hinge of the primary eyeglass frame and the bridge

Application/Control Number: 10/683,706

Art Unit: 2873

of the auxiliary eyeglass frame. The word "specific" in lines 4,9 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the word are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). It is unclear what does the applicant mean "the best" in claim 3?. It appears that the north and south poles of each said magnetic article are substantial in horizontal direction.

Regarding claims 4-5, the term "the best" is indefinite in the context of a limitation relating the characteristics of the claimed material to other material-"properties that are the best to those obtained with comparable" prior art material. It was not clear from the specification which properties had to be "the best" and how the best the properties would have to be to determined infringement issues. Further, there was no guidance as to the meaning of the term "the best".

The remaining claim is dependent upon the above rejected base claim and thus inherits the deficiency thereof.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claims 2-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Huy Mai

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

HKM/

September 27, 2004